



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

27 JUL 2007

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

PATTON BOGGS, L.L.P.  
2001 ROSS AVENUE, SUITE 3000  
DALLAS TX 75201

In re Application of  
LUI et al. :  
U.S. Application No. 10/578,581 :  
PCT No.: PCT/SG04/00366 :  
Int. Filing Date: 06 November 2004 : DECISION ON PETITION  
Priority Date: 06 November 2003 : UNDER  
Attorney Docket No.: 023949.0104PTUS : 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6)  
For: DOCUMENT IMAGE :  
ENCODING/DECODING :

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6), filed 19 March 2007, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) for the benefit of priority to a prior-filed provisional application.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

A petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(ii) and must be filed during the pendency of the nonprovisional application. In addition, the petition must be accompanied by:

- (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(i) to the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;
- (2) the surcharge set forth in § 1.17(t); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

This pending nonprovisional application was filed on 06 November 2004, within twelve months of the filing date of the prior-filed provisional application, Application No. 60/517,928, which was filed on 06 November 2003, and for which priority is claimed. A reference to the prior-filed provisional application has been included in an amendment to the first sentence of the specification following the title.

However, the amendment is not acceptable as drafted since it improperly incorporates by reference the prior-filed application. An incorporation by reference statement added after an application's filing date is not effective because no new matter can be added to an application after its filing date (see 35 U.S.C. § 132(a)). If an incorporation by reference statement is included in an amendment to the specification to add a benefit claim under 35 U.S.C. § 120 after the filing date of the application, the amendment would not be proper. When a benefit claim under 35 U.S.C. § 120 is submitted after the filing of an application, the reference to the prior application cannot include an incorporation by reference statement of the prior application. See *Dart Industries v. Banner*, 636 F.2d 684, 207 USPQ 273 (C.A.D.C. 1980). Note MPEP §§ 201.06(c) and 608.04(b).

Accordingly, before the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) can be granted, a renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) and either an Application Data Sheet or a proper amendment (complying with 37 CFR 1.121 or 37 CFR 1.76(b)(5)) deleting the incorporation by reference statement, are required.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Mail Stop PETITIONS  
Commissioner for Patents  
Post Office Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand: Customer Service Window  
Mail Stop Petitions  
Randolph Building  
401 Dulany Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314

By fax: (571) 273-8300  
ATTN: Office of Petitions

Any questions concerning this matter may be directed to Anthony Smith at 571-272-3298.

  
Boris Milef  
Legal Examiner  
Office of PCT Legal Administration